

(6)

CF 1500

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ; BILASPUR

W.P.No. 283 OF 2004

PETITIONERS:

1. Ashok Shukla, aged 52 years, s/o Ayodhya Prasad Shukla, Assistant Revenue Inspector, Municipal Council, Kondagaon, District Bastar.
2. Manoj Jaiswal, aged 41 years, s/o Gokul Das Jaiswal, Lower Division Clerk, Municipal Council, Kondagaon, Bastar.
3. Piyush Kant Saha, aged 49 years, s/o Shri Sudhir Saha, Pump Operator, Municipal Council Kondagaon, District Basatar.
4. Hiralal Netam, aged 36 years, S/o Shri Domu Netam, Pump Operator, Municipal Council Kondagaon, District Basatar.
5. Samir Saha, aged 39 years, s/o Sudhir Saha, Driver, Municipal Council Kondagaon, District Basatar.
6. Smt. Shakuntala Mishra, w/o Shri Prem Shankar Mishra, aged 44 years, LDC, Municipal Council

P.R. No. 266/04
 Presented by Shri Santosh Kumar
 dated 23/11/04

RECEIVED-COPY
 23/11/04
 Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur



Kondagaon, District Basatar.

7. Birjhu Ram Sonkar, s/o Shri Sadaram, aged 37 years, Asstt. Revenue Inspector, Municipal Council Kondagaon, District Basatar.
8. Sushant Datta, aged 36 years, s/o Manoranjan Datta, Time Keeper, Municipal Council Kondagaon, District Basatar.
9. Shailesh Bhat, s/o Shri G.R. Bhat, aged 41 years, Asstt. Revenue Inspector, Municipal Council Kondagaon, District Basatar.
10. Dinesh Sahu, s/o Rameshwar, aged 30 years, Pump Operator, Municipal Council Kondagaon, District Basatar.
11. Shiv Shankar Sodhi, aged 40 years, s/o Manohar Singh, Driver, Municipal Council Kondagaon, District Basatar.
12. Khokhan Biswas, s/o Bhupendra Nath, aged 45 years, Pump Operator, Municipal Council Kondagaon, District Basatar.
13. Kalluram Gaore, s/o Ramchand



Gaora, aged 38 years, Wireman,
Municipal Council Kondagaon,
District Bastar.

14. Santosh Sahu, s/o Abhayram, aged
31 years, Masuriyam, Municipal
Council Kondagaon, District
Bastar.

15. Motilal Patel, s/o Dibushwar, aged
37 years, Pump Operator,
Municipal Council Kondagaon,
District Bastar.

VERSUS.


RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the
Secretary, Department of Urban
Administration Development,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.

2. Deputy Director, Urban
Administration and Development,
Raipur.

3. Municipal Council, Kondagaon
through its Chief Municipal
Council, Kondagaon, District
Bastar(CG).

4. President Municipal Council,
Kondagaon, District Bastar.



PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

आदेश पत्रक

M.P. No. 283/2004
मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>23.04.2004</u> Shri Prafull Bharat, counsel for the petitioners. Shri Bhaskar Pyasi, P.L. for the State. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners have preferred this writ petition being aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the respondents in not regularizing their services despite repeated resolution (Annexure P-7) by the Municipal Corporation, Kondagaon. He further submits that for the present the petitioners may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority/respondent No.2, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioners to make a detailed representation raising their grievances before the competent authority / respondent No.2 along with a copy of the petition and this order, within one month, and on such representation being	

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No. 283/2004
मामला क्रमांक सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	filed, the competent authority/ respondent No.2 shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within four months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.	Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge

Roshan